



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,217	08/29/2001	Norihiko Shinomiya	FUJH 18.965	6926
26304	7590	01/25/2006	EXAMINER	
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP 575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			CONTINO, PAUL F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2114	

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/942,217	SHINOMIYA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Paul Contino	2114	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 December 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 3-12 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 3,4,8 and 11 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 5-7,9,10 and 12 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.



#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 5-7, 10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chow et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,495,471).

As in claim 12, Chow et al. discloses a protecting route design method for designing protection route information on a protecting route, and presetting the designed protecting route information in a plurality of nodes provided in a communication network, wherein the plurality of nodes switch over in parallel from a working route to a the protecting route on the basis of the protecting route information present in the plurality of nodes when link or node failure occurs, according to a failure notification message including failure location information, transmitted from a failure detection node to each of the plurality of nodes (*Fig. 5; column 6 lines 44-52, where restoration request messages are interpreted as failure notification messages; it is interpreted that each node contains preset protection route information that instructs itself what to do upon encountering a fault*), the protecting route design method comprising the steps of:

searching by a network management system, which is provided in the communication network, a protecting route which can minimize a transfer time of a failure notification message which is transmitted from a node detecting link or node failure (*column 13 lines 32-34 and lines 56-63, and column 14 line 62 through column 15 line 28, where the shortest path heuristic is interpreted as minimization of transfer time; the collection of nodes is interpreted as a network management system*);

updating the searched protection route to a protecting route having a spare communication capacity sharable for a different failure (*column 6 line 48, column 6 line 62 through column 7 line 2, column 13 lines 43-55, and column 14 lines 62-64*) and having a route switchover time to be completed within a given time limit (*column 12 lines 1-7 and 28-31, column 14 lines 9-11, 20-22, 49-53, and 59-60, column 20 lines 17-18, and column 20 lines 3-65, where an exemplary time limit of 2 seconds is given*); and

presetting the protecting route information on the updated protecting route in the plurality of nodes (*Fig. 5; column 6 lines 44-52, where it is interpreted that each node contains preset protection route information that instructs itself what to do upon encountering a fault*).

\*\*\* *The Examiner recommends that the Applicant include specific limitations as to what protecting route information comprises in order to better define the claimed invention.* \*\*\*

As in claim 5, Chow et al. discloses wherein a restoration time of the protecting route is obtained by calculating a summation of the transfer time of failure notification message to each

node and a switchover time to the protecting route in each node, then by extracting the maximum value of the summation for entire nodes along the protecting route (*column 20 lines 40-59*).

As in claim 6, Chow et al. discloses another protecting route is searched excluding a link which has not any sharable spare communication capacity between the end nodes of the route, so as to reduce a total spare communication capacity and a route search time (*column 15 line 48 through column 16 line 2*).

As in claim 7, Chow et al. discloses another protecting route is searched affording priority to a link having a large sharable spare communication capacity between the end nodes of the route, so as to reduce a total spare communication capacity and a route search time (*column 13 lines 56-66, where the selection of the restored path based upon bandwidth implies priority of a large sharable spare communication capacity*).

As in claim 10, Chow et al. discloses calculation of a transfer time of a failure notification message is selectively employed depending on a topology or a scale of an object communication network, a node equipment specification, and a communication system (*column 20 lines 40-51, where it is inherent that the time T required to complete a path and the time t required to process a message is dependent upon the overall communication system including the scale of a network and the node equipment utilized by the network*).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chow et al. in view of Suzuki (U.S. Patent No. 6,289,096 B1).

As in claim 9, Chow et al. discloses searching of a protection route. However, Chow et al. fails to disclose exclusion of a node upon exceeding of a predetermined restoration time. Suzuki discloses another protecting route is searched excluding a node at which a transfer time of the failure notification message exceeds a predetermined restoration time, so as to reduce a route search time (*column 3 lines 3-13*).

It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have included the excluding of a node as disclosed by Suzuki in the invention of Chow et al. This would have been obvious because the invention of Suzuki minimizes the cost of network communication (*column 1 lines 55-60*).

*Allowable Subject Matter*

3. Claims 3, 4, 8, and 11 are allowed.
4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 3 and 4 state determining a switchover time to a protecting route *calculated by a difference between a given restoration time limit and the transfer time of a failure notification message to each node*. When read within the limitations of the remainder of the claims, the novelty of the invention is apparent. Claim 11 is allowed based upon its dependency to claim 3.

Claim 8 states *at the time of the search of another protecting route by affording priority to a link having a large sharable spare communication capacity, a sharable spare communication capacity value exceeding any value assigned to other link is temporarily afforded to a link on a working route, so as to reduce a transfer time of the failure notification message from the failure detection node to each node along the protecting route*. When read within the limitations of the remainder of the claim, the novelty of the invention is apparent.

***Conclusion***

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Contino whose telephone number is (571) 272-3657. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Baderman can be reached on (571) 272-3644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PFC  
1/18/2006



SCOTT BADERMAN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER